

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/725,823	12/01/2003		Ning-Sun Yang	08919-080001	3608	
26161	7590	04/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RI		SON PC	LEITH, PA	LEITH, PATRICIA A		
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1654		
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·								
•	A	oplication No.	Applicant(s)					
1 Office Assistant Comment		0/725,823	YANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summa	ry E	aminer	Art Unit					
		atricia Leith	1654					
The MAILING DATE of this cor Period for Reply	nmunication appears	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the predict of the priod for reply specified above is less than if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum of the priod for reply is specified above, the maximum of the priod for reply within the set or extended period of Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76	MUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). is communication. thirty (30) days, a reply with mum statutory period will ap or reply will, by statute, caus nonths after the mailing date	. In no event, however, may a reply be tim in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from se the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on	,						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending ir 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to re	_ is/are withdrawn f							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.							
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) The oath or declaration is object	•		· ·	` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-19, drawn to a composition comprising an extract from a tuber of a Dioscorea plant, classified in class 424, subclass 725 for example.
- II. Claims 20-43, drawn to a method for enhancing proliferation of bone marrow or spleen cells comprising administering an extract from a tuber of a Dioscorea plant, classified in class 514, subclass 783 for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, extracts of Dioscorea are known to have some effect on cardiac defects and therefore can be used for a different purpose.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,823

Art Unit: 1654

The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations

Application/Control Number: 10/725,823

Art Unit: 1654

of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does

not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent

issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Dioscorea plant; i.e., *D. batatas* Decne or *D.alata* L. var. *purpurea* (Roxb.) M Pouch for example.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-6, 8-9, 11-12, 14-15, 17-18, 20-30, 32-33, 35-36, 38-39 and 41-42 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/725,823

Art Unit: 1654

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 1654

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia Leith whose telephone number is (571) 272-0968. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia Leith Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654

04/18/05